### MATH 5061 Lecture 3 (Jan 27)

Problem Set 2 is posted, due on Feb 10.]

Last time ..... f: M→N embedding, TM, vector bundle (on S") vector field X on M, as a section of TM.

§ Vector Fields as "derivations"

 $X \in T(TM)$  locally in coord  $X(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m X^i(x_1, \dots, x_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ 

 $\frac{\text{TDEA}:}{X} \text{ acts on smooth functions } C^{\infty}(M) \text{ by derivative}} \\ \frac{\text{Notation}:}{\text{O}^{\infty}(M):=[f: M \rightarrow iR \text{ smooth}]} \\ \widehat{\text{O}^{iff}(M):=[\varphi: M \rightarrow M \text{ diffeo.}]} \\ \widehat{\text{O}^{iff}(M):=[\varphi: M \rightarrow M \text{ diffeo.}]} \\ \widehat{\text{Given } X \in T(TM), f \in C^{\infty}(M), p \in M, \\ X(f)(p):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} X^{i}(o) \frac{2f}{2X^{i}} \Big|_{o} \quad \text{for any local coord.} \\ x_{i...,}^{i...,x^{m}} \text{ st } P = 0. \end{cases}$ 

Consider all points p & M ,

Prop: The map above is a derivation, i.e. Vq.b & R. f.g & C°(N). (1) "Linearity": X(af+bg) = a X(f) + b X(g)(2) "Liebniz Rule":  $X(fg) = g \cdot X(f) + f \cdot X(g)$ FACT: { vector fields }  $\xrightarrow{1-1}$  { derivations } Def?: (Lie bracket) Let X, Y & P(TM).  $[X,Y] := XY - YX \in T(TM).$ i.e. [X,Y](f) := X(Y(f)) - Y(X(f))Properties of [...] (i) [X,Y] = -[Y,X](ii) [.,.] is iR-linear in each slot (iii) (Jacobi identity) [X,[Y,2]] + [Y, [2,x]] + [2, [x,Y]] = 0Caution: [.,.] is defined only using the smooth structure on M. § Flow and integral curves of vector fields Let X & T(TM). Consider the following I.V.P. P C(t)  $\int_{c_{p}}^{c_{p}}(t) = \chi(c_{p}(t)) \quad \forall t \in I$   $\int_{c_{p}}^{c_{p}}(c_{p}) = p$  $0.D.E. \Rightarrow \exists unique sol<sup>2</sup> C<sub>p</sub>(t): I<sub>p</sub> \rightarrow M + hat depends smoothly on$ the initial data C(0) = P  $F_{2} X = x^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ 

Thm: If X & P(TM) is compactly supported, then the maps

Moreover.  $\phi_t \circ \phi_s = \phi_{t+s}$   $\forall t,s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

ie.  $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathcal{D}_{iff}(M)$  forms a 1-parameter group called the flow generated by X.

by the differential dop: TpM -> Top, M. at each pEM.

Thm: Let X, Y & T(TM), cptly supported. Suppose [\$the flow generated by Y. Then. Then. The suppose of the flow generated by Y.

$$[X, Y] = \frac{\alpha}{dt} \Big|_{t=0}^{(\varphi_t)_*} X (=: -\mathcal{L}_Y X)$$

## § Tensors

Tensor Product: dim (Vew) = dim V. dim W.

$$V \otimes W := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \left( v_i \otimes w_i \right) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, v_i \in V. w_i \in W \right\}$$

St. 
$$(a_1v_1+a_2v_2) \otimes w = a_1(v_1 \otimes w) + a_2(v_2 \otimes w)$$
  
 $V \otimes (b_1w_1+b_2w_2) = b_1(v \otimes w_1) + b_2(v \otimes w_2)$   
 $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ 

Equivalently, we view:  

$$V \otimes W \cong \int \phi : V \times W \longrightarrow i\mathbb{R}$$
 "bilinear" }  
i.e.  $\phi(\cdot, w) : V \longrightarrow i\mathbb{R}$  linear for each fixed we W  
 $\phi(v_1, \cdot) : W \longrightarrow i\mathbb{R}$  linear for each fixed VEV.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} Recall: \exists natural / canonical pairing \\ & V & V^{*} \longrightarrow R \\ & (V, V^{*}) \longmapsto V^{*}(V) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We have for any & V^{*} \in V^{*}, & W^{*} \in W^{*}, \\ V^{*} \otimes W^{*} \Rightarrow & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{cccc} We & (V^{*} \otimes W^{*})(V,W) & := V^{*}(V) \cdot W^{*}(W) \end{array}$ 

Moral: Any "canonical" (ie. indep. of choice of basis) constructions for vector spaces can be done fibernise on vector bundles.

Applying to the tangent bunchle TM

$$TM := \coprod_{p \in M} T_p M \xrightarrow{dual} T^{*}_M := \coprod_{p \in M} T_p^{*}_M \xrightarrow{cotangent}_{bundle}$$

$$T^{*}_O M := \coprod_{p \in M} (T_p M \otimes \dots \otimes T_p M) \otimes (T_p^{*}_M \otimes \dots \otimes T_p^{*}_M)$$

$$Covenient P \in M (T_p M \otimes \dots \otimes T_p M) \otimes (T_p^{*}_M \otimes \dots \otimes T_p^{*}_M)$$

$$F - trives \qquad s - trives$$

$$(r, s) - tensor$$

$$bundle over M \qquad Eg.) T^{*}_O M = TM \quad ; \quad T^{*}_i M = T^{*}_M M$$

(1) tensor product @  
(2) "Contraction": 
$$C_{i,j} : \bigvee^{\otimes p} \otimes \bigvee^{* \otimes q} \longrightarrow \bigvee^{\otimes (p-1)} \otimes \bigvee^{* \otimes (q-1)} (w.st. i, j)$$
  
 $C_{i,j} ( \vee_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \vee_p \otimes \vee_i^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \vee_j^* )$   
 $= \bigvee_{j}^{*} (\vee_i) (\vee_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigvee_{i}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \vee_p) \otimes (\vee_i^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigvee_{j}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \vee_p) \otimes (\vee_i^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \bigvee_{i}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \vee_p)$   
 $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$   
 $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$   
 $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ .  $C_{i,1} : \vee \otimes \bigvee^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  :  $C_{i,1} (\vee \otimes \vee^*) = \vee^* (\vee)$   
 $Note: This is just the "trace" on End (\vee) \cong \vee \otimes \vee^*$   
 $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ :  $C_{i,n}$  is  $j \otimes \mathbb{P}$  is  $(\vee \otimes \vee^*) (\otimes) = \vee^* (\otimes) \cdot \vee$ 

(3) "Interior Product" (w.rt 
$$\forall \in V$$
)  
Griven  $Q \in (V^*)^{\otimes 2}$ , i.e.  $Q : V \times \cdots \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  multilinear.  
define  $(2_{V}Q) \in (V^*)^{\otimes (2^{-1})}$  as  
 $(2_{V}Q) (V_{1,...}, V_{2^{-1}}) := Q(V, V_{1,...}, V_{2^{-1}})$ 

#### Pullback of tensors

Given a diffeo.  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ , we can pullback (p,q)-tensors on N to obtain (p,q)-tensors on M as follow:

Kemerks: (i) 
$$(\phi \circ \psi)^{"} = \psi^{"} \circ \phi^{"}$$
 for  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$  iff  
(ii)  $\phi^{*}$  commutes with any contraction.

#### Shie derivative

Given X  $\in T(T_M)$ , we can define the Lie derivative (w.r.t X) flow  $\{\phi_t\}_t$   $\int_X : T(T_1^PM) \longrightarrow T(T_2^PM)$ by  $\int_X \alpha := \frac{d}{dt} \left| (\phi_t^* \alpha) \right|_{t=0}$ 

## Properties of LX

(a) 
$$L_{X}f = X(f) = df(X)$$
,  $\forall f \in C^{\infty}(M)$   
(b)  $L_{X}Y = [X,Y]$   $\forall Y \in T(TM)$   
(c)  $L_{X}(\alpha \otimes \beta) = (L_{X}\alpha) \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes (L_{X}\beta)$   $\forall \text{ tensors } \alpha, \beta$ .  
(d)  $L_{X} \circ C = C \circ L_{X}$   $\forall \text{ contraction } C$   
FACT: These 4 properties uniquely characterize  $L_{X}$ .  
Reason: Suppose  $\exists$  linear map  
 $P_{X} : T(T_{1}^{p}M) \rightarrow T(T_{1}^{p}M)$   
satirfying (a) - (d) above. Claim:  $P_{X} = L_{X}$ .  
First, we show  $P_{X}$  is a "local" operator:  
i.e. Suppose  $\alpha, \beta \in F(T_{1}^{p}M)$  set  $\alpha|_{M} \equiv \beta|_{M}$  on some open USM.  
Them.  $(P_{X}\alpha)|_{M} \equiv (P_{X}\beta)|_{M}$   
( $d^{1}M$ )? Choose another open  $V \subset C U$ , is  $V \subset U$ .  
 $M \geq U$   $f_{X} \neq f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  satisfies at  
 $\int f \equiv d$  on  $V$   
 $f \equiv 0$  satisfies  $U$ .  
Now,  $\alpha|_{U} \equiv \beta|_{U} \Rightarrow f \alpha = f\beta$  on  $M$   
(c)  $\Rightarrow (P_{X}f) \alpha + f (P_{X}\alpha) = (P_{X}f) (\beta + f (P_{X}\beta)) \xrightarrow{an} M$   
(a)  $\chi(f)$   $\chi(f)$   $\chi(f)$  on  $U$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $P_{X}\alpha = P_{X}\beta$  on  $V$   $\Rightarrow$  also on  $U$  solve on  $U$  solve  $V$  and by

Application:  $L \times \cdot L Y - L Y \cdot L \times = L [X, Y]$ Q: What is a tensor "really"? (1,0) - tensor (~) vector fields J duel I dual (0,1) - tensor and 1-form - What about (0,2) - tensors ? C<sup>(m)</sup> - module (0,2)-tensors () map P(TM) × P(TM) -> C<sup>(0)</sup>(M) bilinear over C<sup>00</sup>(M) Why? "=>" Given (0,2)-tensor of & P(T2M), we define  $d: T(TM) \times T(TM) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$ 

st. 
$$d(X,Y)(p) = d_p(X_p,Y_p)$$
  $\forall p \in M$   
 $T_{pM}^{*} \oplus T_{pM}^{*}$ 

 $N_{\overline{a}\overline{a}}: \quad \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}x, Y\right) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(x, Y) = \alpha(x, \frac{1}{2}X), \quad A \neq \varepsilon_{\alpha}(x, \frac{1}{2}X)$ 

"<=" Given a map

$$\Psi: T(TM) \times T(TM) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M), C^{\infty}(M) - bilinear$$

At each p ∈ M, we define a bilinear map (over iR)

# 

Since  $[f \times g ] = (f \cdot (xg)) Y - (g \cdot (xg)) X + f f [x, Y]$   $f \cdot g \in C^{\infty}(M)$ Not  $C^{\infty}(M) - biline$